OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN ATTORNEY GENERAL

> Honorable Jack F. Ridgeway, Chairman Military Affairs Committee House of Representatives Austin, Texas

Dear Sir:

Opinion No. 0-5047
Re: Constitutionality of delegation of authority to commissioners' courts as contained in Senate Bill 253 and Rouse Bill 623.

5 ... S ... 5

You have requested the opinion of this department as to the constitutionality of Senate Bill 253 and House Bill 623, companion bills now before the Legislature.

These bills are identical, except that the House Bill, as now written provides for a higher penalty than that provided in the Senate Bill. Omitting the caption and the emergency clause, we quote Senate Bill 253:

"Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Texas:

"SECTION 1. That for the purpose of this Act, any Army, Nevy or Coast Guard Base, Camp, Station, Yard or Section Base shall be known as a Military establishment.

Courts having within the limits of said County any Military establishments are hereby authorized to set up and create restricted Military Zones adjacent to such Military establishment.

"SEC. 3. That the limits of said Military Zones shall be set forth in the Minutes of said Courts; that appropriate signs shall be placed by all roads or passage-ways leading into said

Zones showing that such Zones are restricted areas. That such Zones shall not extend more than one mile from the boundary lines of any Military establishment.

*SEC. 4. That the Commissioners Court shall be authorised to establish special regulations for such Zones as to speed of motor vehicles, parking, taking of pictures and other doings of any other act that would be or tend to be contrary to public interest and that would interfere with the war efforts.

"SEC. 5. That in order to properly police said Zones the Commissioners Court shall be authorized to empower the civilian or military guards at such Military establishments to enforce the regulations established for such military Zones.

"SEC. 6. That any person, association of persons, firm or corporation who shall violate any of the regulations prescribed for military sones, shall be guilty of a misdemeaner, and upon conviction shall be punished by a fine of not less than One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00) or by imprisonment in the County Jail for not less than ten (10) days, or more than two (2) years."

We believe that these bills are violative of Artiele I, Section 28, Article II, Section 1, and Article III, Section 1 of the Constitution of Texas, and of Articles 1 and 3 of our Penal Gode. These laws are set out in the quotations which follow.

In Ex parte Faison, 93 Cr. R. 403; 248 S.W. 343, the Court of Criminal Appeals held invalid a penal statute prohibiting the operation of commercial motor vehicles upon the public highways with loads in excess of a certain weight or width, but empowering the State Highway Department to issue special permits in writing to increase such weight or width. Referring to the provisions of the statute conferring such power upon the Highway Department the Court said:

"A casual reading of this quotation seems to make patent the fact that it is so obnoxious to section 28, art. 1, of our Constitution denying to the Legislature the right to delegate power to suspend a law, as to require no analysis. Said section of the Constitution in terms provides that no power of suspending laws in this State shall be exercised except by the Legislature. As this provision was in the Constitution prior to the adoption of the one now in force in this state, it read:

""Ho power of suspending laws in this State shall be exercised except by the Legislature or its authority."

"It will be observed that the words 'or its authority' are no longer a part of the fundamental law of our state, and as held by this sourt in Ex parts Coumbs, 38 Tex. Cr. R. 648, 44 S. W. 854, and by the Supreme Court of this state in McDonald v. Denton, 65 Tex. Civ. App. 421, 132 S. W. 823, this repealed any authority to delegate its power theretofore existing in the Legislature."

In passing upon the constitutionality of a statute making the failure to erect fire escapes in conformance with specifications to be promulgated by the State Fire Marshall a penal offense, the same court said (Dockery v. State, 93 Cr. R. 220; 247 S. W. 508):

"The meat of this law is not to compel one to have a fire escape, but that all must have them built according to specifications which are not written in the law, but which must be prepared and published by another than the law-making body.

"Section 28, art. 1, of our Constitution, forbids the delegation of lawmaking power by the Legislature. We discussed this at some length in Ex parte Adlof, 86 Tex. Cr. R. 13, 215 S. W. 222. If the act under discussion be upheld, it would seem clear that the law requiring fire

escapes would be such as that the essential part of it, i.e. the kind and character of specifications necessary, might be changed, modified, added to, or taken from by a power other than the legislature, at the will, wish, or whim of such foreign power. In deed, if the fire markhall declined to promulgate specifications it might be that the entire law would be rendered futile. The statement of the case seems to make self—evident the proposition that the law under discussion is an attempt to delegate to the state fire marshal the power to so make, unmake, or change the element necessary to give effect to this statute, as to render the act obnoxious to the Constitution.

Section 1 of Article II of the Constitution declares:

The powers of the Government of the State of Texas shall be divided into three distinct departments, each of which shall be confided to a separate body of magistracy, to-wit: Those which are Legislative to one; those which are Executive to another, and those which are Judicial to another; and more person, or collection of persons, being of one of those departments, shall exercise any power properly attached to either of the others, except in the instances herein expressly permitted.

In Stephenson v. Wood, 35 S. W. (2d) 794, the Galveston Court of Civil Appeals, passing upon the validity of a statute attempting to confer legislative authority upon the Game, Fish and Cyster Commission, said:

"Appellants insist that the attempt on the part of the Legislature to confer upon the ecamissioners authority to determine when and under what circumstances and conditions the possession of seines, nots, and travis on the waters mentioned shall constitute a penal offense, is in violation of article 3, section 1 of the Constitution of this state, which expressly provides that the legislation of this state shall be vested in the Legislature of the state, and is therefore void and unenforceable.

"This contention of appellants should be sustained. That the Legislature has no power to confer upon a commission, bureau, or agent of the state the power to make a law is well settled in this state, not only by the provisions of article 3, 1, of the Constitution but by the uniform opinions of our courts. Article 3, 1, State Constitution; Ex parte Leslie, 87 Tex. Cr. R. 476, 223 S.W. 227, 229; Jannin v. State, 42 Tex. Cr. R. 631, 51 S.W. 1126; 62 S.W. 419, 53 A.L.R. 349, 96 Am. St. Rep. 821; Stockwell v. State, 110 Tex. 550, 221 S.W. 932, 12 A.L.R. 1116; Ex parte Mitchell, 109 Tex. 11, 177 S.W. 953; State v. Swisher, 17 Tex. 441; Crossman v. Galveston, 112 Tex. 503, 247 S.W. 810, 26 A.L.R. 1210."

In Ex parte Leslie, 87 Cr. R. 476; 223 S.W. 227, the Court of Criminal Appeals said:

The power to make laws is placed by the people, through the Constitution, upon the legislature. The rights of individuals are guarded by restrictions touching the ensetment and publication of laws, and the privilege is afforded of presenting by petition or appearance before the legislative committees opposition to proposed enactments affecting the property or the liberty of the citizen. A completed law, if penal in its effect, must define the act or omission denounced as criminal with some degree of certainty. Penal Code, art. 6; Augustine v. State, 41 Tex. Cr. R. 59; 52 S.W. 77, 96 Am. St. Rep. 765; Sogdell v. State, 81 Tex. Cr. R. 66; 193 S.W. 675; Griffin v. State, 218 S.W. 494; Railway v. State, 100 Tex. 420, 100 S.W. 766. . . . In conferring upon an instrument of government, such as the live stock sanitary commission, the power to make rules, the nonobservance of which constitutes a criminal offense, it is deemed necessary that the legislature define the power and place limitations upon the authority to promulgate rules, to the end that they may not be lacking in the essential elements of a law denouncing an offense."

Again, in Ex parte Wilmoth, 67 S. W. (2d) 289, the same Court said:

"Counsel for the city have referred this court to many judicial decisions of the federal and state courts in support of the contention that the ordinance in question does not offend against the general rule which declares that the power to make laws is a function of the lawmaking body and that such power cannot be delegated to others. The cases cited relate to matters of a civil nature, and are therefore not available as precedents in regard to a penal offense. Such of the cases cited which apparently sustain the delegation of power are from the federal court, in which the rule has been relaxed to some degree in accord with the announcement of the Supreme Court of the United States in Waysen v. Southard, 10 Wheat. 1, 42, 6 L. Ed. 262, . . .

"The relaxed interpretation of the rule has not been followed under any of the statutes or decisions in this state, particularly in their application to the penal laws. The statutes applicable are the following:

I'The design of enacting this Code is to define in plain language every offense against the laws of this State, and affix to each offense its proper punishment.' Article 1, P.C.

"In order that the system of penal law in force in this State may be complete within itself, and that no system of fereign laws, written or unwritten, may be appealed to, it is declared that no person shall be punished for any act or omission, unless the same is made a penal offense, and a penalty is affixed thereto by the written law of this State.' Article 3, P. C.

It will be observed that the bills here under consideration attempt to confer upon commissioners, courts the power to make, change and suspend the law, to confer upon them

legislative powers, and to affix a penalty to acts which are not made penal offenses and defined in plain language by the criminal law of this State.

For the reasons stated, it is our opinion that said Senate Bill No. 253 and said House Bill No. 623 are unconstitutional.

In view of our opinion as to the constitutionality of the bills as a whole, it becomes unnecessary to pass upon the constitutionality of Sections 5 of the bills.

Yours very truly

W. R. allen

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By

W. R. Allen Assistant

FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

MAN 26, 1943

WRA: 4b

